

1420 Compton Bridge Inman, South Carolina

Grades 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 1.006 Students

PrincipalMrs. Stephanie W. Mathis864-472-2836SuperintendentDr. Jimmy Littlefield864-472-2846Board ChairMr. Michael Smith864-472-2846



RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

Year	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating
2008	Average	Below Average
2007	Good	Average
2006	Good	At-Risk
2005	Excellent	Good
2004	Excellent	Excellent

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk							
5	5	18	1	0			

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.

High School Assessment Program(HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Student									
	Our	High Sch	nool		Schools ents Like				
Percent	2006	2007	2008	2006	2007	2008			
Passed 2 subtests (%)	78.8	77.7	80.3	75.8	79.4	81.4			
Passed 1 subtest (%)	11.7	10.5	10.4	11.5	11.7	10.1			
Passed no subtests (%)	9.5	11.7	9.2	12.7	8.9	8.4			

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2008		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	92.4%	93.8%

On-Time Graduation Rate		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	259	238
Number of Diplomas	198	176
Rate	76.4%	75.6%

End of Course Tests										
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*								
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	75.1	75.8								
English 1	71.2	64.3								
Physical Science	45.2	54.3								
All Tests	63.6	64.8								

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

Retention rate 8.3%		Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Attendance rate	Students (n=1,006)				
Eligible for gifted and talented	Retention rate		Up from 4.8%		*****
With disabilities other than speech 13.5% Down from 14.3% 13.6% 13.0% Older than usual for grade 5.5% Up from 2.0% 8.3% 8.5% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent Mor criminal offenses 0.0% Down from 0.3% 1.9% 1.5% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 10.4% Down from 13.0% 11.4% 11.4% Successful on AP/IB exams 31.3% NIA 54.3% 54.3% Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 68.9% Up from 62.3% 31.8% 33.0.5% Annual dropout rate 0.6% Down from 1.2% 4.1% 3.5% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 515 Up from 404 535 559 Students participating in work-based experiences 515 Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 84.0% Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A N/A 97.8% 98.5% Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6%					00.070
Dider than usual for grade	Eligible for gifted and talented	19.3%	Down from 19.7%	13.0%	8.3%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent Mor criminal offenses Down from 0.3% 1.9% 1.5% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 10.4% Down from 13.0% 11.4% 11.4% Successful on AP/IB exams 31.3% N/A 54.3% 54.3% Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 68.9% Up from 62.3% 31.8% 30.5% Annual dropout rate 0.6% Down from 1.2% 4.1% 3.5% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 4.4% 2.6% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology courses 515 Up from 404 535 559 Students participating in work-based experiences 35.1% Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 84.0% Up from 78.7% 80.0% 79.6% Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A N/A 97.8% 96.5% Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6% 57.4% Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0%	With disabilities other than speech	13.5%	Down from 14.3%	13.6%	13.0%
## Strong 1.9%	Older than usual for grade	5.5%	Up from 2.0%	8.3%	8.5%
Successful on AP/IB exams 31.3% N/A 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 54.3% 30.5% 31.8% 30.5% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31.8% 31.8% 30.5% 31.8% 31		0.0%	Down from 0.3%	1.9%	1.5%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 68.9% Up from 62.3% 31.8% 30.5% Annual dropout rate 0.6% Down from 1.2% 4.1% 3.5% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 5.5% Down from 1.2% 4.1% 3.5% 3.1% organizations 5.5% Down from 4.4% 2.6% 3.1% 5.559 Students participating in work-based experiences 35.1% Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% 2.6 10.6%	Enrolled in AP/IB programs	10.4%	Down from 13.0%	11.4%	11.4%
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology courses 515 Students participating in work-based experiences Students participating in work-based experiences 35.1% Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% Career/technology students attaining technical skills A.0% Up from 78.7% 80.0% 79.6% Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10.6% 1	Successful on AP/IB exams	31.3%	N/A	54.3%	54.3%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 4.4% 2.6% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology courses 515 Up from 404 535 559 Students participating in work-based experiences 35.1% Up from 20.1% 12.6% 10.6% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 84.0% Up from 78.7% 80.0% 79.6% Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A 97.8% 98.5% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6% 57.4% Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0% 69.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher </td <td>Eligible for LIFE Scholarship</td> <td>68.9%</td> <td>Up from 62.3%</td> <td>31.8%</td> <td>30.5%</td>	Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	68.9%	Up from 62.3%	31.8%	30.5%
organizations 4.3%	Annual dropout rate	0.6%	Down from 1.2%	4.1%	3.5%
Students participating in work-based experiences	0,	4.3%	Down from 4.4%	2.6%	3.1%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills Career/technology completers placed N/A	Enrollment in career/technology courses	515	Up from 404	535	559
Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A 97.8% 98.5% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6% 57.4% Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0% 69.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Students participating in work-based experiences	35.1%	Up from 20.1%	12.6%	10.6%
Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6% 57.4% Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0% 69.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.	Career/technology students attaining technical skills	84.0%	Up from 78.7%	80.0%	79.6%
Teachers with advanced degrees 49.3% No Change 60.6% 57.4% Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0% 69.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6%	Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.8%	98.5%
Continuing contract teachers 69.6% Down from 72.0% 72.0% 69.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 2.0 S.3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Teachers (n=69)				
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 9.3% Up from 8.5% 7.3% 8.7% Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Teachers with advanced degrees	49.3%	No Change	60.6%	57.4%
Teachers returning from previous year 90.7% Down from 91.8% 87.1% 85.0% Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0%	Continuing contract teachers	69.6%	Down from 72.0%	72.0%	69.6%
Teacher attendance rate 96.7% Down from 97.2% 95.5% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$45,044 Down 2.2% \$46,180 \$46,061 Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change	Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	9.3%	Up from 8.5%	7.3%	8.7%
Average teacher salary	Teachers returning from previous year	90.7%	Down from 91.8%	87.1%	85.0%
Professional development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 16.9 days 11.6 days 11.4 days School Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A N/A Average Good	Teacher attendance rate	96.7%	Down from 97.2%	95.5%	95.4%
School Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Average teacher salary	\$45,044	Down 2.2%	\$46,180	\$46,061
Principal's years at school 3.0 Up from 2.0 3.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Professional development days/teacher	11.8 days	Down from 16.9 days	11.6 days	11.4 days
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% Down from 64.2% Down from 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	School				
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.8 to 1 Down from 25.5 to 1 26.3 to 1 25.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.7% Down from 89.6% 89.3% 89.1% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	3.0
Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,122 Up 10.9% \$7,037 \$7,279 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.8 to 1	Down from 25.5 to 1	26.3 to 1	25.4 to 1
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 61.6% Down from 64.2% 54.5% 55.3% Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A N/A Average Good	Prime instructional time	88.7%	Down from 89.6%	89.3%	89.1%
Percent of expenditures for instruction* 64.2% Down from 66.9% 59.7% 60.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,122	Up 10.9%	\$7,037	\$7,279
Opportunities in the arts Excellent Up from Good Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	61.6%	Down from 64.2%	54.5%	55.3%
Parents attending conferences 100.0% No Change 93.8% 94.2% SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Percent of expenditures for instruction*	64.2%	Down from 66.9%	59.7%	60.8%
SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Excellent	Excellent
Character development program Excellent No Change Good Good Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	Parents attending conferences	100.0%	No Change	93.8%	94.2%
Modern Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Average Good	SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
	Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Classical Language Program Assessment N/A N/A Good Average	Modern Language Program Assessment	N/A	N/A	Average	Good
-	Classical Language Program Assessment	N/A	N/A	Good	Average

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

		age Rate by g 2008		rse Passage ate	(Graduation Rate		
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	237	92.4%	730	63.6%	259	76.4%	No	
Gender								
Male	119	91.6%	336	66.4%	131	72.5%	N/A	
Female	118	93.2%	390	61.5%	128	80.5%	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	177	95.5%	482	64.9%	192	78.6%	N/A	
Africian American	44	79.5%	110	50.9%	49	65.3%	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	90.0%	19	36.8%	12	83.3%	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	25	60.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status								
Disabled	35	57.1%	61	26.2%	41	41.5%	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	55	54.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals * n=number of students on which p	93 ercentage is calci	84.9%	356 or of tests taken.	54.8%	101	68.3%	N/A	

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The students, faculty, and staff of Chapman High School continue to reap great successes of hard work. We are enjoying our "new, state-of-the-art facility" and continue to further refine our school and its accomplishments with excitement and pride.

During the 2007-2008 school year, the faculty and administration continued to focus on literacy with the implementation of a program that focused on the use of reading and writing strategies across the curriculum. Teachers also focused on the implementation of our thinking maps which assist students with connections across the curriculum. Students participated diligently in the literacy program by reading more books than ever before. A celebration for our students' success will be held at the start of our next school year.

The Guidance Department continued its focus on academic advisement by meeting individually with every student and also hosting informational parent and student meetings for each grade level. Counselors encouraged and guided students in the development of Individual Graduation Plans to assist students with researching, planning, and organizing future college and career opportunities.

There also was a continued focus on the improvement of SAT, ACT, HSAP, Advanced Placement, and EOC scores. Through a concentrated effort to raise awareness and expectations for all areas of assessment, students and teachers worked hard in preparation for these tests. All tenth grade students took the PSAT and PLAN to help prepare them for future assessments.

The implementation of APEX Learning at CHS helped students in the areas of credit recovery, Advanced Placement courses, and remediation for HSAP. This program not only allowed students to "catch up," but it also allowed them to move ahead by providing more opportunities as well as a different way to find success.

The faculty, staff, administration, and students participated in many community service projects and raised over \$75,000 for various charities. The Class of 2007 earned over \$3,000,000 in scholarships to help further their education. The CHS Student Council sponsored a blood drive in which the units of blood gathered increased for the seventh consecutive year. The students, faculty and staff raised over \$10,000 for Project Christmas Child in which children under the care of the Department of Social Services received their very own "Christmas dreams."

The Fine Arts Program continued its trend upward with increases in participation and success. The Athletic Programs also excelled. Most notable is the fact that approximately 60% of our student body participated in extracurricular activities. The JROTC continued its participation in flag retirement ceremonies, veterans' funerals, and many other community service oriented projects.

The 2007-2008 school year was unique in many ways. Our strong tradition of excellence remains intact. Moving forward in pursuit of even higher expectations is a top priority, and we are confident in the future of the students that attend Chapman High School.

Stephanie W. Mathis, Principal Wright Gaines, SIC Chairman

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	63	138	80
Percent satisfied with learning environment	98.4%	86.1%	91.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	98.4%	89.8%	85.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	92.1%	86.5%	81.0%

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress NO

This school met 16 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

N/A

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data			
		Our School	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly	0.0%	1.8%	
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly	y qualified teachers	N/A	6.8%
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.4%	0.0%	No

HSAP Performance By Group

	st ng		Sic		+	-	ent *	ent *	ı, sut	a t	ر عز
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	sted	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
	lollin /	% Tested	3elov	% Bs		Adva	ol % l	ct %	e % P	irforn	articip jectiv
	En	8	%		%	%	Scho	Distri	State	8 g	_였 용
English/Languag	e Arts	- State	Perforn	nance	Objectiv	ve = 52	.3% (Pi	roficien	t and A	dvance	ed)
All Students	250	99.2	13.6	30.9	35.6	19.9	67.8	72.1	69.7	Yes	Yes
Male	119	99.2	16.4	32.7	29.1	21.8	61.8	65.9	64.6	N/A	N/A
Female	131	99.2	11.1	29.4	41.3	18.3	73	77.7	74.8	N/A	N/A
White	184	98.9	11	29.5	36.4	23.1	71.7	75.7	81.7	Yes	Yes
Africian American	44	100	23.3	34.9	27.9	14	55.8	55.6	53.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	100	0	40	50	10	60	66.7	83.1	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	65.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	22	100	72.7	27.3	0	0	0	8.6	25.2	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	40	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	47.3	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	136	99.3	19.5	31.3	33.6	15.6	59.4	60.5	55.1	Yes	Yes
Mathematic	s - Stat	e Perfo	ormance	e Objec	ctive =	50.0% (Proficie	ent and	Advan	ced)	
All Students	250	99.2	15.7	25	39.8	19.5	69.9	71.8	67.2	Yes	Yes
Male	119	99.2	20	22.7	34.5	22.7	66.4	70.1	66.3	N/A	N/A
Female	131	99.2	11.9	27	44.4	16.7	73	73.4	68	N/A	N/A
White	184	99.5	12.6	23.6	42	21.8	73	74.7	79.6	Yes	Yes
Africian American	44	100	30.2	23.3	32.6	14	55.8	55.6	49.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	100	0	60	20	20	80	83.3	88.9	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	69.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	22	100	81.8	18.2	0	0	4.5	14.3	23.8	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	46.7	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.9	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	136	98.5	19.7	29.1	37	14.2	63	62.7	53.1	Yes	Yes
)buoissi	Caian	. /Г.»	1 -6 0-	D	- uf	anaa bu	. С			
	hysical		,						·	NI/A	NI/A
All Students	254	95.3	71.7	15.4	4.3	3.9	8.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	116	92.2	63.8	16.4	6.0	6.0	12.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	138	97.8	78.3	14.5	2.9	2.2	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	194	95.9	68.0	17.5	5.2	5.2	10.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Africian American	39	89.7	82.1	7.7	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	11	100.0	81.8	9.1	9.1	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

19

N/A

23

134

94.7

I/S

95.7

93.3

89.5

I/S

73.9

76.9

5.3

I/S

8.7

11.2

N/A

I/S

13.0

3.7

N/A

I/S

N/A

1.5

I/S

I/S

I/S

N/A

Disabled

Migrant

Limited English Proficient

Subsized meals

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data										
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	petse1 %	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 52.3% (Proficient and Advanced)										
All Students	2007	248	98.8	12.6	27.6	34.3	25.5	67.8	70.2	70.7
	2008	250	99.2	13.6	30.9	35.6	19.9	67.8	72.1	69.7
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% (Proficient and Advanced)										
All Students	2007	248	99.6	19.9	24.5	31.1	24.5	63.9	65.5	62.2
	2008	250	99.2	15.7	25	39.8	19.5	69.9	71.8	67.2